

## Wheatland-Chili Central Schools

2013-2014 Budget Development: Adoption of Proposed Budget

April 8, 2013
Board of Education Meeting


## District Objectives

- Build a 2013-3014 budget that:
- Supports Board of Education goals
- Preserves District assets
- Is fiscally responsible, reasonable, and balanced
- Build the 2013-2014 budget with future budgets in mind:
- Assess dependence on appropriated fund balance


## Board of Education Goals

- Sustain and manage a small school district recognizing current financial restrictions, new laws and regulations from NYS, declining enrollment and our commitment to academic excellence and opportunity for all students
- Support an environment that focuses on great instruction, high performance and continuous improvement that will attract and retain highly competent staff, through support of the APPR implementation, and induction and professional growth of staff
- Support the Middle States Accreditation, as evidenced by participation in the Candidacy Visit and commencement of Self-Study (if Candidacy is achieved)
- Ensure a positive transition in the business office


## District's Strategic Focus

- To provide academic excellence, which empowers all individuals to become motivated learners.
- To provide and maintain systems to support open communications with all stakeholders (Communication and Parent Involvement).
- To provide a competitive educational program that is sustainable for the community (Fiscal Accountability).
- To provide systems necessary for a clean, safe, and healthy environment to support the educational program (Operations).


## 2013-2014 Budget Development Timeline

January 14
January 28
February 11
February 25
March 11
March 25
April 8
May 13
May 21
June 10
July 1

## Presentation

Employee Benefits, Debt Service, Administrative Special Education and BOCES

Instructional, Interscholastic, Co-curricular
Facilities and Transportation
Review Draft Budget
Review Draft Budget
Adoption of Proposed Budget
Budget Hearing and Meet the Candidate Night Budget Vote

Statewide Budget Revote Day
Implement 2013-2014 Budget

## What will we support in this budget?

- Electives are offered with appropriate enrollment (Art, Business, Technology, Band, and Chorus)
- Academic supports are enhanced; ELA intervention classes will be taught by ELA certified teachers
- Sports opportunities with appropriate enrollment
- Extra-classroom clubs with appropriate enrollment
- High school summer school opportunities
- Drivers' education (budget neutral)
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# Major Budget Categories (Object Codes) 

- Salaries and Benefits
- Debt Service
- BOCES Services
- Supplies and Equipment
- Utilities
- Contractual Expenses
- Tuitions


## Three-Part Budget (Function Codes)

| Budget Type | Components |
| :---: | :---: |
| Administrative | Overall general support and management of the operations of the District: <br> - Board of Education costs <br> - Central and school administration <br> - Finance (including tax collection, purchasing, legal and auditing expenses) <br> - Curriculum development <br> - BOCES administrative expenses |
| Program | Largest portion of all planned expenditures for instruction and support services: <br> - Instructional, co-curricular, and athletic programs <br> - Counseling and health services <br> - Pupil transportation |
| Capital | Costs associated with maintaining facilities and grounds: <br> - Building and equipment repairs <br> - Custodial and maintenance supplies <br> - Utilities <br> - Debt service |



## BUDGET CHALLENGES: INCREASE IN MANDATED EXPENSES AND TAX LEVY LIMIT



## Budget Challenges for 2013-2014

- Anticipated a $1.5 \%$ increase in state aid; Governor's Proposal as of January 22, 2013 aligned with this percentage
- Increase in expenses based on assumptions resulted in overall $4.16 \%$ budget to budget increase $(\$ 674,782)$
> 2.5\% increase in general support, instruction, and pupil transportation
$>10 \%$ increase in benefits
> 39.5\% increase in Teachers Retirement System (TRS)
> 10.5\% increase in Employees Retirement System (ERS)


## Budget Challenges for 2013-2014

- To have the same level of support and service in 2013-2014 as we have in 2012-2013 would cost us an additional \$674,782
- We are limited in our ability to raise additional revenue due to the tax levy limit
- To stay within a tax levy limit of 3\%, assuming $1.5 \%$ increase in state aid, we needed to reduce our expenses by $\$ 639,312$
- This "gap" was updated from $\$ 638,684$ as a result of the Governor's Proposed budget (\$628 difference)
- The amount of this "gap" will continue to change as our tax levy limit is defined and we receive state aid numbers in March


## What is the Impact of the Governor's Proposal on Our Revenue for 2013-2014?

| State Aid Category | STATE APPROVED <br> BUDGET <br> Approved <br> Budget <br> $3 / 30 / 2012$ | State Aid (or Governor's Proposal) wl Adjustments as of 1/22/2013 | STATE APPROVED <br> BUDGET <br> Approved <br> Budget <br> $3 / 28 / 2013$ | Differences $\begin{aligned} & (1 / 22 / 2013 \text { to } \\ & 3 / 28 / 2013) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foundation Aid | 3,985,162 | 3,985,162 | 3,997,117 | 11,955 |
| High Tax Aid | 181,923 | 90,961 | 181,923 | 90,962 |
| Transportation Aid | 711,008 | 655,196 | 655,121 | (75) |
| Building Aid | 1,037,082 | 1,011,443 | 1,011,443 | 0 |
| Excess Cost Aid | 30,114 | 50,032 | 50,032 | 0 |
| Private Excess Cost Aid | 194,392 | 130,874 | 127,115 | $(3,759)$ |
| BOCES Aid | 484,738 | 683,139 | 683,1391 | 0 |
| Hardware \& Technology Aid | 11,760 | 10,990 | 10,990 | 0 |
| Textbook, Software, Library Mat Aid | 59,343 | 58,494 | 56,456 | $(2,038)$ |
| Gap Elimination Adjustment | $(1,140,421)$ | $(1,140,421)$ | $(1,140,421)$ | 0 |
| GAP Restoration | 0 | 65,065 | 301,748 | 236,683 |
| Published Aid | 5,555,101 | 5,600,935 | 5,934,663 | 333,728 |
| Other Aids / Adjustments |  | estimated |  |  |
| State Medicaid | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 0 |
| DEDUCTION FOR certain students | $(38,391)$ | $(38,391)$ | $(38,391)$ | 0 |
| Urban Suburban Program | 217,847 | 258,078 | 258,078 | 0 |
| TOTAL STATE AID | 5,779,557 | 5,865,622 | 6,199,350 | 333,728 |
| Federal Medicaid | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 0 |
| TOTAL STATE AND FEDERAL AID | 5,824,557 | 5,910,622 | 6,244,350 | 333,728 |

## Adjustment to Draft Budget as a result of State Approved Budget

- To have the same level of support and service in 2013-2014 as we have in 2012-2013 would cost us an additional \$674,782
- Anticipated a 1.5\% increase in state aid; Governor's Proposal as of January 22, 2013 aligned with this percentage, representing \$86,065
- To stay within a tax levy limit of $3 \%$, we needed to reduce our expenses by $\$ 639,312$
- Approved for 7.21\% increase in state aid, representing an additional \$333,728
- To stay within a tax levy limit of $3 \%$, we must now reduce our expenses by $\$ 305,584$



## Budget Challenges for 2013-2014 - Tax Levy Limit

- Limited in our ability to raise revenue due to the tax levy limit
- Gap between revenue and expenses of budget that must be closed due to increase in mandated expenses


## What is the Tax Levy

 Limit?- Percentage from year-to-year that tax levy can increase
- Determined by each district according to a complex formula outlined by law
- Allowable tax levy limit requires greater than 50\% voter approval
- Exceeding the allowable tax levy limit requires greater than 60\% voter approval


## Current Picture: Draft budget after tax levy limit adjustments

| Amounts | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$16,907,991 | Budget amount as of 2/25/13 |
| (\$639,312) | Gap between 2/25/13 budget and amount supported by $3.00 \%$ tax levy limit |
| +\$37,235 | Additional revenue due to change from projections to percentage that is below tax levy limit (of 3.98\%) at 3.45\% |
| +\$10,000 | Increase in other revenue sources |
| \$16,315,914 | Draft Budget $3 / 11 / 13$ supported by $3.45 \%$ tax levy |
| $(\$ 37,235)$ | Decrease to remain at 3.00\% tax levy limit |
| +\$333,728 | Additional revenue due to additional state aid |
| \$16,612,407 | Draft Budget 4/8/13 supported by 3.00\% tax levy |
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Wheatland-Chili Central School District
Use of Reserves (3.7.13)



# How will we balance the budget with our increased mandated expenses and tax levy limit? 

## Reductions and efficiencies

## from past years

- Shared Director of Food Services with Honeoye FallsLima (Genesee Valley BOCES) (0.6 FTE reduction)
- Shared Director of Transportation and Buildings/Grounds (1.0 FTE reduction)
- Shared Director of Curriculum and Instruction and Data Manager
- Shared Business Manager with Greece Central (Monroe \#2 BOCES) (0.5 FTE reduction)
- Shared HR Manager with Honeoye Falls-Lima (Monroe \#2 BOCES)
- Managed Information Technology Support \#1 BOCES) (0.5 FTE reduction)
- Reduction in Principal position (1.0 FTE reduction)


## Reductions and efficiencies from past years

Instructional Reductions 2009-2010 to 2012-2013:

- Elementary faculty: 3.4 FTE
- Middle/High faculty: 3.2 FTE
- Social Studies: 0.8 FTE
- LOTE: 0.4 FTE
- English: 0.8 FTE
- Science: 0.8 FTE
- Physical Education 0.4 FTE
- K-12 Art: 0.4 FTE
- K-12 Music: 0.7 FTE
- K-12 Paraprofessionals: 5.0 FTE


## Strategies to close the 20132014 budget gap

Combination of the following options:

- Staff reductions
- Purchase of BOCES services where possible, resulting in increased aid the following year
- Budget line reductions
- Contractual reductions
- Reductions through retirement
- Continued use of reserves, but at lower rate



## Student enrollment over time

2006-07 2007-08

## We have closed the budget gap without eliminating programs for our students

- Electives are offered with appropriate enrollment (Art, Business, Technology, Band, and Chorus)
- Academic supports are enhanced; ELA intervention classes will be taught by ELA certified teachers
- Sports opportunities with appropriate enrollment
- Extra-classroom clubs with appropriate enrollment
- High school summer school opportunities
- Drivers' education (budget neutral)
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## What proposed reductions are in this budget?

- Elementary faculty (31.9 FTE) - 3.5 FTE-1.9 FTE Reduction
- Classroom: 1.0 FTE
- Special Areas:
- Art: 0.2 FTE
- Library: 0.1 FTE
- Music: 0.2 FTE
- Physical Education: 0.2 FTE
- Reading: 0.8 FTE
- Special Education: 1.0 FTE 0.5 FTE
- Math Intervention: 0.5 FTE (retirement)


## What proposed reductions are in this budget?

- Middle/High faculty (43.5 FTE) - Z.1 FTE-1.5 FTE Ess Reduction
- Library: 0.1 FTE
- Math: 0.2 FTE
- Music: 0.2 FTE
- Physical Education: 0.2 FTE
- Science: 0.2 FTE
- Social Studies: 0.2 FTE
- Special Education: 1.0 FTE-0.5 FTE
- Psychologist: 0.4 FTE
- K-12 Paraprofessionals (37.0 FTE): 3.0 FTE


## Reductions (Continued)

- Coaching reduction - one modified boys basketball team and one modified girls basketball team
- BOCES services
- In-District Support of Students with Disabilities
- Retirements
- Line-by-line reductions in operational budgets


## What are the implications of these reductions?

- Seventh-grade students will continue in two sections of classes; continuation of sixth-grade structure; ELA and math are in three sections
- One less section of physical education at the MS/HS
- Fewer sections of specials classes (art, library, music, and physical education) are needed at the elementary level for 16 classes of students per week
- Reduction of seventh-grade general music or adjustment to lesson schedule at MS/HS


## Implications (Continued)

- Deliver special education services in a way that is sensitive to cost efficiencies while providing required and needed support for students
- Transition from 12.0 teachers for 96 students to 11.0 teachers for 96 students
- Transition from 2.0 psychologists to 1.6 psychologists
- District's cost per student is \$29,081 compared to similar schools' cost of \$26,949 per student and NYS average of $\$ 26,888^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ New York State School Report Card, Fiscal Accountability Supplement (based on 2009-2010 data)


## Implications (Continued)

- Reduce availability of reading support (2.8 FTE to 2.0 FTE) but provide literacy coaching for all teachers through professional development
- Reduce teachers' aide support
- 0.5 FTE at each of MS/HS and TJC in general education
- 1.0 FTE at each of MS/HS and TJC in special education; support is not mandated (not on students' IEPs)


## Class Size Considerations (One Option): K-6

| Grade | Actual <br> No. of Sections 2012-2013 | Projected Enrollment 2013-2014 ${ }^{1}$ (as of 12.10.12) | Projected Enrollment 2013-2014 ${ }^{1}$ | No. of Sections 2013-2014 (Based on 2012-2013²) | No. of Sections 2013-2014 (Based on County Average ${ }^{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 3 | 50 (Est.) | 46 (Est.) | 2/3 | 2/3 (15/15/16) |
| 1 | 3 | 45 | 43 | 3 | 3 (14/14/15) |
| 2 | 2 | 55 | 54 | 3 | 3 (18/18/18) |
| 3 | 3 | 38 | 38 | 2 | 2 (19/19) |
| 4 | 3 | 54 | 51 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 2.5(17 / 17 / 17) \\ (25 / 26) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | 3 | 50 | 51 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 2.5(17 / 17 / 17) \\ (25 / 26) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | 2 | 60 | 60 | 3 | 3 (20/20/20) |
| TOTAL | 19 |  |  | 19/20 | 18/19 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Enrollment numbers include special education students <br> ${ }^{2}$ K-3, 18 students; 4-8, 24 students <br> ${ }^{3}$ K, 24 students; 1-3, 25 students; 4-6, 26 students |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 33 (4/8/13) |

## Our students and staff for 2013-2014

We have 106 FTE adults $^{1}$ at Wheatland-Chili supporting our 689 students ${ }^{2}$.

- 689 TJC and MS/HS students with 72 FTE teachers/support staff ${ }^{3}$
- 292 TJC students with 30 FTE teachers/support staff
- 397 MS/HS students with 42 FTE teachers/support staff
- 689 TJC and MS/HS students with an additional 34 FTE paraprofessionals

[^0]
## Major Budget Categories (Object Codes)



## 2013-2014 Budget (as of 4.3.13)

| Category | 12-13 <br> Amount | 13-14 <br> Amount | Amount <br> Change | \% Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries and Benefits | $11,376,716$ | $11,531,710$ | 154,994 | $1.36 \%$ |
| Debt Service | $1,190,993$ | $1,190,735$ | -258 | $-0.02 \%$ |
| BOCES Services | $1,950,945$ | $2,140,798$ | 189,853 | $9.73 \%$ |
| Supplies and | 526,015 | 540,701 | 14,686 | $2.79 \%$ |
| Equipment | 421,325 | 423,990 | 2,665 | $0.63 \%$ |
| Utilities | 519,565 | 516,283 | $-3,282$ | $-0.63 \%$ |
| Contractual Expenses | 247,650 | 268,190 | 20,540 | $8.29 \%$ |
| Tuitions | $\mathbf{1 6 , 2 3 3 , 2 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 6 1 2 , 4 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 9 , 1 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 4 \%}$ |
| Total Budget |  |  |  |  |

WHEATLAND-CHILI CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
2012-2013 to 2013-2014 Budget Comparison
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## Major Budget Categories

- Salaries and Benefits
- Debt Service

BOCES Services
■ Supplies and Equipment

- Utilities
- Contractual Expenses

Tuitions

## Three-Part Budget (Function Codes)



## Three-Part Budget

| Component | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012-2013 } \\ \text { Adopted } \end{gathered}$ | \% of Budget | 2013-2014 <br> Proposed | \% of Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program <br> Instructional, co-curricular, and athletic programs; counseling and health services; and pupil transportation | \$12,132,995 | 74.74\% | \$12,374,631 | 74.49\% |
| Administrative <br> Board of Education costs, central and school administration, finance (including tax collection, purchasing, legal and auditing expenses), curriculum development, and BOCES administrative expenses | \$1,579,491 | 9.73\% | \$1,665,302 | 10.02\% |
| Capital <br> Building and equipment repairs, custodial and maintenance supplies, utilities, and debt service | \$2,520,723 | 15.53\% | \$2,572,475 | 15.49\% |
| Total | \$16,233,209 | 100\% | \$16,612,407 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  | 40 (4/8/13) |

## Three-Part Budget
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## Tax Rate History




## Impact on Homeowners

| Increase on tax bill (full value on $\$ 100,000$ ) | Per Year | Per Month |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increase on tax bill (full value with STAR on $\$ 100,000$ ) | $\$ 48.24$ | $\$ 58.91$ | $\$ 4.02$ |

## What happens with a contingency budget?

## There are new rules for contingency budgets as of 2012-2013:

- If the budget is defeated, School Board may put up the same or a revised budget for a second vote.
- If the voters reject the budget twice, the Board will adopt a contingency budget.
- With a contingency budget, we would have a 0\% tax levy.
- This would mean an additional budget reduction of \$250,668.



## 2013-2014 Budget Development Process




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excluding custodial, cafeteria, and transportation staff who also interact with students on a daily basis ${ }^{2}$ Additional 16 students in out-of-district and BOCES special education placements.
    ${ }^{3}$ Support staff represents social workers, psychologists, counselor, librarians, reading teachers, etc.

